HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 CLAYTON ERNEST LONGACRE, CASE NO. C17-5900RBL 9 Plaintiff. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR 10 RECONSIDERATION v. 11 KITSAP COUNTY, 12 Defendant. 13 14 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff Longacre's Motion for Reconsideration 15 [Dkt. #15] of the Court's Order [Dkt. #13] granting Defendant Kitsap County's Motion to 16 Dismiss [Dkt. #6]. Longacre claims the Court erred (1) in considering and judicially noticing 17 documents supplied with Defendants' Reply, and (2) in dismissing his case on issue preclusion 18 grounds. 19 He claims he should have been permitted to respond if the Court considered matters 20 outside the complaint. Generally, a Court may not consider any material outside the pleadings in 21 ruling on a Rule 12 motion, or the motion is converted to one for summary judgment (and the 22 opposing party is entitled to respond to the new motion). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b)(6) There are 23 two exceptions to this rule. First, the Court may consider material submitted as part of the 24

1	complaint, or upon which the complaint necessarily relies, if the material's authenticity is not
2	contested. Second, under Fed. R. Evid. 201, the Court may take judicial notice of "matters of
3	public record." Lee v. City of Los Angeles, 250 F.3d 668, 688 (9th Cir. 2001).
4	The Court's reliance on matters of public record did not convert the motion to one for
5	summary judgment.
6	Longacre also disputes the preclusive effect of the prior case, which he lost. He claims
7	that he was required to prove there —by clear and convincing evidence—that service was
8	defective, but that he can prevail on this issue here by proving defective service by a
9	preponderance of the evidence.
10	This is not a distinction that makes a difference, and holding that it does makes no sense;
11	prevailing parties would regularly be subject to a second lawsuit seeking to undo the result of the
12	first.
13	The Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	Dated this 2nd day of February, 2018.
16	
17	Ronald B. Leighton
18	United States District Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	